UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of Virginia

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
ARTHUR OUTLAW	)	Case No: 4:00CR70114-001
	)	USM No: 09082-084
Date of Previous Judgment: _____09/20/2011_____	)	
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	)	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☑ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:
☑DENIED. ☐GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____ Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE
☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant was previously granted a reduction pursuant to the Fair Sentencing Act on September 9, 2011; no further reduction is authorized.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated __09/20/2011__ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: _____06/10/2013_____

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Printed name and title